Lecture 13 Point mass models, modeling AM-AFM

Arvind Raman Mechanical Engineering Birck Nanotechnology Center

1



AM-AFM (aka Tapping Mode, IC mode)







where
$$r = -\frac{\omega}{\omega}$$

- ω_0 is the natural freq, ω is the drive freq
- Maximum amplitude occurs when $\omega > \omega_0!$

Base motion amplitude at r=1 is A/Q! PURDUE

Response of acoustically excited levers



For Q=100, see response above

Asymmetric peak, amplitude greater when ω>ω₀ JRDUE



- ω_0 is the natural freq, ω is the drive freq
- Maximum amplitude occurs when $\omega < \omega_0!$
- For $\omega << \omega_0 \text{ A=F}_{mag}/k!$



Response of directly excited AFM levers



Asymmetric response with greater amplitude when $\omega < \omega_0!$

Classical phase response



Driven point mass model with tip-sample interaction



 $\begin{aligned} Magnetic \\ m\ddot{x} &= -kx - c\dot{x} + F_{mag}(t) + F_{ts}(Z + x(t)) \\ \frac{\ddot{x}}{\omega_0^2} + x + \frac{1}{\omega_0 Q} \dot{x} &= \frac{1}{k} \Big(F_{mag}(t) + F_{ts}(Z + x(t)) \Big); \\ with \quad \omega_0 &= \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}, \ Q = \frac{m\omega_0}{c} \\ Measured \ motion &= x(t) \\ F_{mag}(t) &= F_0 \ \sin(\omega t) \end{aligned}$

Acoustic excitation

$$\frac{\ddot{z}}{\omega_0^2} + z + \frac{1}{\omega_0 Q} \dot{z} = -\frac{\ddot{y}}{\omega_0^2} - \frac{1}{\omega_0 Q} \dot{y} + \frac{F_{ts} (Z + y(t) + x(t))}{\omega_0^2}$$

Highly nonlinear ordinary differential equation



Linearized analysis

Magnetic excitation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\ddot{x}}{\omega_0^2} + x + \frac{1}{\omega_0 Q} \dot{x} &= \frac{1}{k} \Big(F_0 \, \sin(\omega t) + F_{ts}(Z + x(t)) \Big); \quad d(t) = Z + x(t) \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$
At a given Z the equilibrium deflection is
$$x^* &= \frac{1}{k} F_{ts}(Z + x^*) \quad \text{where} \quad d^* = Z + x^* \qquad (2) \end{aligned}$$
Let $x(t) = x^* + \bar{x}(t) \qquad (3)$
Include t ime – dependent terms
$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\ddot{x} + \ddot{y}^*)}{\omega_0^2} + (\bar{x} + x^*) + \frac{1}{\omega_0 Q} (\dot{\bar{x}} + \dot{\bar{x}}^*) = \frac{1}{k} \Big(F_0 \sin(\omega t) + F_{ts}(Z + x^* + \bar{x}) \Big) \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\ddot{x}}{\omega_0^2} + (\bar{x} + x^*) + \frac{1}{\omega_0 Q} \dot{\bar{x}} = \frac{1}{k} \Big(F_0 \sin(\omega t) + F_{ts}(Z + x^* + \bar{x}) \Big) \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$
If $\bar{x} << Z + x^*$ or when $\bar{x} << d^*$ then
$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\ddot{x}}{\omega_0^2} + (\bar{x} + x^*) + \frac{1}{\omega_0 Q} \dot{\bar{x}} = \frac{1}{k} \Big(F_0 \sin(\omega t) + F_{ts}(Z + x^* + \bar{x}) \Big) \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\ddot{x}}{\omega_0^2} + (\bar{x} + x^*) + \frac{1}{\omega_0 Q} \dot{\bar{x}} = \frac{1}{k} \Big(F_0 \sin(\omega t) + F_{ts}(Z + x^* + \bar{x}) \Big) \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\ddot{x}}{\omega_0^2} + (\bar{x} + x^*) + \frac{1}{\omega_0 Q} \dot{\bar{x}} = \frac{1}{k} \Big(F_0 \sin(\omega t) + F_{ts}(Z + x^* + \bar{x}) \Big) \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$





Repulsive gradient equivalent to additional spring in compression attached to tip, increasing the cantilever resonance frequency.

Limitations of the linearized analysis



For what driven oscillation amplitude is this approximation valid?





Si tip / HOPG sample z=90 nm, frequency sweep



When brought closer to sample the tip sometimes sticks to the sample

ERSITY

Lee et al, Phys Rev B (2002)

Physical mechanisms



- n Total potential energy from interaction + beam elasticity
- Number of equilibria changes with Z



Physical mechanisms



Implications for AM-AFM

Consider the following dynamic approach retract curves using VEDA (parameters following example in Garcia and Perez)

The dependence of the low and high oscillation solutions on the rest of the tip–surface separation for a system characterised by R, A_0 , $f_0 = f$, k, Q, H, γ and E^* of 20 nm, 10 nm, 350 kHz, 40 N/m, 400, 6.4×10^{-20} J, 30 mJ/m² and 1.51 GPa, respectively, are plotted in Fig. 7(a). The collection of L and H



Recognizing attractive and repulsive regimes



In attractive regime, phase lag is greater than 90 degrees while in repulsive regime it is less than 90 degrees







Attractive-repulsive instability



- Soft cantilevers, small amplitudes-> more attractive regime
- Stiff levers, larger amplitudes -> repulsive regime

Fig. 11. Experimental determination of the low and high amplitude branches. (a) Amplitude curve, the L and H branches are plotted by open circles. Dashed lines indicate the A_{sp} values used to image a 200 × 200 nm² InAs quantum dot sample. (b) The system evolves from stable imaging in the L state $A_{sp} = 16$ nm (top) to unstable imaging due to switching between H and L states $A_{sp} = 13.8$ nm (middle) and finally to stable imaging in the H state $A_{sp} = 9.5$ nm (bottom). Adapted from [56].